## 1,25,28-TRIHYDROXYVITAMIN D<sub>2</sub> UP-REGULATES RENAL VITAMIN D RECEPTOR WITHOUT CAUSING HYPERCALCEMIA

JESSE P. GOFF," RONALD L. HORST, AND MILAN USKOKOVIĆ

<sup>†</sup> U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, National Animal Disease Center,
Metabolic Diseases and Immunology Research Unit, Ames, IA 50010-0070; and

<sup>‡</sup> Hoffman-LaRoche, Nutley, NJ 07110

(Received 2 February 1993)

Abstract. Treatment of rats with 1,25,28-trihydroxyvitamin D<sub>2</sub> caused significant up-regulation in kidney vitamin D receptor in the absence of hypercalcemia. This result suggests that this relatively non-calcemic analogue (and possibly other analogues) of vitamin D may be used in stimulating vitamin D-regulated genetic events not associated with stimulation of active calcium transport in vivo.

The vitamin D hormone, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> (1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>), stimulates calcium and phosphorus homeostatic mechanisms in its classical target tissues; intestine, kidney, and bone. Tissue responsiveness to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> is dependent on the circulating level of the hormone in the extracellular milieu and the presence of vitamin D receptors (VDR) in the target tissue.<sup>1,3</sup> Several hormones<sup>4,6</sup> and various physiological states<sup>7,8</sup> have been shown to alter tissue VDR number, thus modulating tissue responsiveness to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>. In vitro<sup>9</sup> and in vivo<sup>10</sup> studies have demonstrated that treatment with 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> is able to cause up-regulation of VDR in a variety of tissues. This treatment also causes hypercalcemia in the animals. Dietary calcium restriction to stimulate endogenous production of 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> without hypercalcemia fails to cause up-regulation of VDR.<sup>8</sup> Sandgren and DeLuca<sup>11</sup> suggest that the plasma calcium concentration increase following treatment with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> is responsible for up-regulation of VDR in tissues. However, Reinhardt and Horst<sup>10</sup> presented strong evidence that parathyroid hormone prevents up-regulation of VDR by 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>. In this paper, we present evidence that *in vivo* administration of a non-hypercalcemic vitamin D analogue, 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> synthesized in our laboratory, <sup>12</sup> up-regulates renal VDR and that an increase in plasma calcium is not the driving force for up-regulation of renal VDR.

1826 J. P. Goff et al.

## Materials and Methods

The ability of 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> to stimulate bone calcium resorption (BCR) and intestinal calcium absorption (ICA) relative to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> was tested in vitamin D-deficient male Holtzman rats as previously described.<sup>13</sup> We also studied the relative effects of 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> on plasma calcium and VDR up-regulation in the kidney in ovariectomized nine-month-old female Fischer-344 rats treated with either 7 micrograms of 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> or 7.5 nanograms of 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> dissolved in corn oil (n=6/group) administered per os daily for 90 days. The Fischer-344 rats were maintained on rat chow that was 1% Ca and 0.45% P (Teklad 90268, Teklad Premiere, Madison, WI). Rats in all experiments were killed by inhalation of CO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> (50:50) followed by guillotining. Plasma and the tissues were obtained from each rat. Plasma was analyzed for calcium content by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.<sup>14</sup> Renal VDR was determined by ligand-binding assay as described previously.<sup>8</sup> Student's unpaired *t*-test<sup>15</sup> was used to test for significant differences in plasma calcium or renal VDR as a result of 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> treatment.

## Results and Discussion

The data (Table 1) show that the  $1,25,28(OH)_3D_2$  at the highest dose (200 ng) was ineffective at stimulating either BCR or ICA. The  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ , however, caused significant elevations in both parameters when given at a dose of 12.5 ng. In these bioassays,  $1,25,28(OH)_3D_2$  therefore was at least 15-fold less active than  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ .

TABLE 1. Biological evaluation of 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> using the rat bioassay

Steroid	Dose (ng/rat)	<sup>45</sup> Ca (serosal)/ <sup>45</sup> Ca (mucosal) (ICA)	Serum Ca (mg/dl) (BCR)
Control	-	2.02 <u>+</u> 0.45	4.1 <u>+</u> 0.4
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	12.5	4.46 <u>+</u> 0.96	4.6 ± 0.2
1,25,28(OH) <sub>3</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	25	2.17 <u>+</u> 0.59	$4.1 \pm 0.3$
	50	$2.08 \pm 0.67$	$4.2 \pm 0.5$
	100	$2.22 \pm 0.04$	3.8 <u>+</u> 0.2
	200	3.21 <u>+</u> 1.23	4.0 ± 0.3

<sup>\*</sup> Different from controls (P < 0.05)

In the long-term experiment with ovariectomized Fisher-344 rats, treatment with 7 micrograms  $1,25,28(OH)_3D_2$  daily for 90 days resulted (Table 2) in a significant (P < 0.05) increase in renal VDR concentration ( $249 \pm 34$  fmol/mg in treated rats versus  $87 \pm 3$  fmol/mg in controls and  $98 \pm 11$  in  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -treated rats). This degree of elevation of renal VDR is comparable to changes in renal VDR observed in rats treated parenterally with  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ . Despite its ability to up-regulate renal VDR, the  $1,25,28(OH)_3D_2$  treatment had little effect on plasma calcium. Plasma calcium concentration in control rats ( $10.09 \pm 0.16$  mg/dl) was similar, and not different (P < 0.05) from that of  $1,25,28(OH)_3D_2$ -treated ( $10.53 \pm 0.14$  mg/dl) and  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -treated rats ( $10.55 \pm 0.21$  mg/dl).

TABLE 2. Plasma calcium and kidney VDR in rats treated with 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>

	Plasma Ca (mg/dl)	Kidney VDR (fmol/mg protein)
Control	10.09 <u>+</u> 0.16	87 <u>+</u> 3
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	10.55 <u>+</u> 0.21	98 <u>+</u> 11
1,25,28(OH) <sub>3</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	10.53 <u>+</u> 0.14	249 <u>+</u> 34°

<sup>\*</sup> Significantly different from controls and  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$ -treated rats (P < 0.05).

These data support the hypothesis that VDR regulation by vitamin D metabolites is independent of plasma calcium concentration. Similarly, Uhland-Smith and DeLuca, is using an immunoradiometric assay for VDR, have demonstrated that renal VDR can be up-regulated following intravenous administration of 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> without having increased plasma calcium in short-term studies (24 hours). In earlier studies, Goff et al., reported that treatment of rats with 36 ng 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D/day for 7 days (via miniosmotic pump) increased renal VDR from 60 fmol/mg in control rats to 194 fmol/mg. At the same time, plasma calcium increased from 10.78 mg/dl to 13.4 mg/dl. The results of the present study suggest that the elevation in kidney VDR in the Goff studies was likely a result of a direct effect of 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> on the synthesis of new receptor, rather than an effect dependent on hypercalcemia.

The unique ability of 1,25,28(OH)<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> to up-regulate renal VDR without causing significant hypercalcemia should prove a valuable tool in further studies of VDR regulation and the interaction between VDR concentration and the biological response of the tissues. This compound may also provide

1828 J. P. Goff et al.

an alternative to  $1,25(OH)_2D_3$  for regulation of vitamin D responsive genes without causing hypercalcemic side effects.

## References and Notes

- 1. Chen, T. L.; Hauschka, P. V.; Feldman, D. Endocrinology 1986, 118, 1119.
- 2. Dokoh, C.; Donaldson, C. A.; Haussler, M. R. Cancer Res. 1984, 44, 2103.
- 3. Halloran, B. P.; DeLuca, H. F. J. Biol. Chem. 1981, 256, 7388.
- 4. Petkovich, P. M.; Heersche, J. N.; Tinker, D. O.; Jones, C. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 8274.
- 5. Hirst, M. A.; Feldman, D. Endocrinology 1982, 111, 12400.
- 6. Walters, M. R. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1981, 103, 721.
- 7. Horst, R. L.; Reinhardt, T. A. Vitamin D. Molecular, Cellular and Clinical Endocrinology; Norman,
- A. W.; Schaefer, K.; Grigoliet, H.-G.; Herrath, D. v., Eds.; Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin-NY, 1988, pp. 229-230.
- 8. Goff, J. P.; Reinhardt, T. A.; Beckman, M. J.; Horst, R. L. Endocrinology 1990, 126, 1031.
- 9. Reinhardt, T. A.; Horst, R. L. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1989, 272, 459.
- 10. Reinhardt, T. A.; Horst, R. L. Endocrinology 1990, 127, 942.
- 11. Sandgren, M. E.; DeLuca, H. F. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1990, 87, 4312.
- 12. Batcho, A. D.; Horst, R. L.; Uskoković, M. R.; Napoli, J. L. U.S. Patent No. 4,929,609 (May 29, 1990).
- 13. Horst, R. L.; Reinhardt, T. A.; Ramberg, C. F.; Koszewski, N. J.; Napoli, J. L. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1986, 261, 9250.
- 14. Perkin-Elmer Corp. Analytical Methods for Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry, Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT, 1965.
- 15. Snedecor, G. W.; Cochran, W. G. Statistical Methods, Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, IA, 1967; pp. 77.
- 16. Uhland-Smith, A.; DeLuca, H. F. J. Nutr. 1992, 122, 2316.